Manahan, Stanley E. "WATER TREATMENT" Environmental Chemistry Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC, 2000

# **8 WATER TREATMENT**

# 8.1. WATER TREATMENT AND WATER USE

The treatment of water may be divided into three major categories:

- Purification for domestic use
- · Treatment for specialized industrial applications
- Treatment of wastewater to make it acceptable for release or reuse

The type and degree of treatment are strongly dependent upon the source and intended use of the water. Water for domestic use must be thoroughly disinfected to eliminate disease-causing microorganisms, but may contain appreciable levels of dissolved calcium and magnesium (hardness). Water to be used in boilers may contain bacteria but must be quite soft to prevent scale formation. Wastewater being discharged into a large river may require less rigorous treatment than water to be reused in an arid region. As world demand for limited water resources grows, more sophisticated and extensive means will have to be employed to treat water.

Most physical and chemical processes used to treat water involve similar phenomena, regardless of their application to the three main categories of water treatment listed above. Therefore, after introductions to water treatment for municipal use, industrial use, and disposal, each major kind of treatment process is discussed as it applies to all of these applications.

## 8.2. MUNICIPAL WATER TREATMENT

The modern water treatment plant is often called upon to perform wonders with the water fed to it. The clear, safe, even tasteful water that comes from a faucet may have started as a murky liquid pumped from a polluted river laden with mud and swarming with bacteria. Or, its source may have been well water, much too hard for domestic use and containing high levels of stain-producing dissolved iron and manganese. The water treatment plant operator's job is to make sure that the water plant product presents no hazards to the consumer.

A schematic diagram of a typical municipal water treatment plant is shown in Figure 8.1. This particular facility treats water containing excessive hardness and a high level of iron. The raw water taken from wells first goes to an aerator. Contact of the water with air removes volatile solutes such as hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, methane, and volatile odorous substances such as methane thiol ( $CH_2SH$ ) and bacterial metabolites. Contact with oxygen also aids iron removal by oxidizing soluble iron(II) to insoluble iron(III). The addition of lime as CaO or Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> after aeration raises the pH and results in the formation of precipitates containing the hardness ions Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>. These precipitates settle from the water in a primary basin. Much of the solid material remains in suspension and requires the addition of coagulants (such as iron(III) and aluminum sulfates, which form gelatinous metal hydroxides) to settle the colloidal particles. Activated silica or synthetic polyelectrolytes may also be added to stimulate coagulation or flocculation. The settling occurs in a secondary basin after the addition of carbon dioxide to lower the pH. Sludge from both the primary and secondary basins is pumped to a sludge lagoon. The water is finally chlorinated, filtered, and pumped to the city water mains.




Figure 8.1. Schematic of a municipal water treatment plant.

# 8.3. TREATMENT OF WATER FOR INDUSTRIAL USE

Water is widely used in various process applications in industry. Other major industrial uses are boiler feedwater and cooling water. The kind and degree of treatment of water in these applications depends upon the end use. As examples, cooling water may require only minimal treatment, removal of corrosive substances and scale-forming solutes is essential for boiler feedwater, and water used in food processing must be free of pathogens and toxic substances. Improper treatment of water for industrial use can cause problems such as corrosion, scale formation, reduced heat transfer in heat exchangers, reduced water flow, and product contamination. These effects may cause reduced equipment performance or equipment failure, increased energy costs due to inefficient heat utilization or cooling, increased costs for pumping water, and product deterioration. Obviously, the effective treatment of water at minimum cost for industrial use is a very important area of water treatment.

Numerous factors must be taken into consideration in designing and operating an industrial water treatment facility. These include the following:

- Water requirement
- Quantity and quality of available water sources
- Sequential use of water (successive uses for applications requiring progressively lower water quality)
- Water recycle
- Discharge standards

The various specific processes employed to treat water for industrial use are discussed in later sections of this chapter. **External treatment**, usually applied to the plant's entire water supply, uses processes such as aeration, filtration, and clarification to remove material that may cause problems from water. Such substances include suspended or dissolved solids, hardness, and dissolved gases. Following this basic treatment, the water may be divided into different streams, some to be used without further treatment, and the rest to be treated for specific applications.

**Internal treatment** is designed to modify the properties of water for specific applications. Examples of internal treatment include the following:

- Reaction of dissolved oxygen with hydrazine or sulfite
- Addition of chelating agents to react with dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup> and prevent formation of calcium deposits
- Addition of precipitants, such as phosphate used for calcium removal
- Treatment with dispersants to inhibit scale
- Addition of inhibitors to prevent corrosion
- · Adjustment of pH
- Disinfection for food processing uses or to prevent bacterial growth in cooling water

# 8.4. SEWAGE TREATMENT

Typical municipal sewage contains oxygen-demanding materials, sediments, grease, oil, scum, pathogenic bacteria, viruses, salts, algal nutrients, pesticides, refractory organic compounds, heavy metals, and an astonishing variety of flotsam ranging from children's socks to sponges. It is the job of the waste treatment plant to remove as much of this material as possible.

Several characteristics are used to describe sewage. These include turbidity (international turbidity units), suspended solids (ppm), total dissolved solids (ppm), acidity ( $H^+$  ion concentration or pH), and dissolved oxygen (in ppm O<sub>2</sub>). Biochemical oxygen demand is used as a measure of oxygen-demanding substances.

Current processes for the treatment of wastewater may be divided into three main categories of primary treatment, secondary treatment, and tertiary treatment, each of which is discussed separately. Also discussed are total wastewater treatment systems, based largely upon physical and chemical processes

Waste from a municipal water system is normally treated in a **publicly owned treatment works**, **POTW**. In the United States these systems are allowed to discharge only effluents that have attained a certain level of treatment, as mandated by Federal law.

## **Primary Waste Treatment**

**Primary treatment** of wastewater consists of the removal of insoluble matter such as grit, grease, and scum from water. The first step in primary treatment normally is screening. Screening removes or reduces the size of trash and large solids that get into the sewage system. These solids are collected on screens and scraped off for subsequent disposal. Most screens are cleaned with power rakes. Comminuting devices shred and grind solids in the sewage. Particle size may be reduced to the extent that the particles can be returned to the sewage flow.

Grit in wastewater consists of such materials as sand and coffee grounds which do not biodegrade well and generally have a high settling velocity. **Grit removal** is practiced to prevent its accumulation in other parts of the treatment system, to reduce clogging of pipes and other parts, and to protect moving parts from abrasion and wear. Grit normally is allowed to settle in a tank under conditions of low flow velocity, and it is then scraped mechanically from the bottom of the tank.

**Primary sedimentation** removes both settleable and floatable solids. During primary sedimentation there is a tendency for flocculent particles to aggregate for better settling, a process that may be aided by the addition of chemicals. The material that floats in the primary settling basin is known collectively as grease. In addition to fatty substances, the grease consists of oils, waxes, free fatty acids, and insoluble soaps containing calcium and magnesium. Normally, some of the grease settles with the sludge and some floats to the surface, where it may be removed by a skimming device.

#### Secondary Waste Treatment by Biological Processes

The most obvious harmful effect of biodegradable organic matter in wastewater is BOD, consisting of a biochemical oxygen demand for dissolved oxygen by microorganism-mediated degradation of the organic matter. **Secondary wastewater treatment** is designed to remove BOD, usually by taking advantage of the same kind of biological processes that would otherwise consume oxygen in water receiving the wastewater. Secondary treatment by biological processes takes many forms but consists basically of the action of microorganisms provided with added oxygen degrading organic material in solution or in suspension until the BOD of the waste has been reduced to acceptable levels. The waste is oxidized biologically under conditions controlled for optimum bacterial growth, and at a site where this growth does not influence the environment.

One of the simplest biological waste treatment processes is the **trickling filter** (Fig. 8.2) in which wastewater is sprayed over rocks or other solid support material covered with microorganisms. The structure of the trickling filter is such that contact of the wastewater with air is allowed and degradation of organic matter occurs by the action of the microorganisms.




Figure 8.2. Trickling filter for secondary waste treatment.

**Rotating biological reactors** (**contactors**), another type of treatment system, consist of groups of large plastic discs mounted close together on a rotating shaft. The device is positioned such that at any particular instant half of each disc is immersed in wastewater and half exposed to air. The shaft rotates constantly, so that the submerged portion of the discs is always changing. The discs, usually made of high-density polyethylene or polystyrene, accumulate thin layers of attached biomass, which degrades organic matter in the sewage. Oxygen is absorbed by the biomass and by the layer of wastewater adhering to it during the time that the biomass is exposed to air.

Both trickling filters and rotating biological reactors are examples of fixed-film biological (FFB) or attached growth processes. The greatest advantage of these processes is their low energy consumption. The energy consumption is minimal because it is not necessary to pump air or oxygen into the water, as is the case with the popular activated sludge process described below. The trickling filter has long been a standard means of wastewater treatment, and a number of wastewater treatment plants use trickling filters at present.

The **activated sludge process**, Figure 8.3, is probably the most versatile and effective of all wastewater treatment processes. Microorganisms in the aeration tank convert organic material in wastewater to microbial biomass and  $CO_2$ . Organic nitrogen is converted to ammonium ion or nitrate. Organic phosphorus is converted to orthophosphate. The microbial cell matter formed as part of the waste degradation processes is normally kept in the aeration tank until the microorganisms are past the log phase of growth (Section 6.3), at which point the cells flocculate relatively well to form settleable solids. These solids settle out in a settler and a fraction of them is discarded. Part of the solids, the return sludge, is recycled to the head of the aeration tank and comes into contact with fresh sewage. The combination of a high concentration of "hungry" cells in the return sludge and a rich food source in the influent sewage provides optimum conditions for the rapid degradation of organic matter.




Figure 8.3. Activated sludge process.

The degradation of organic matter that occurs in an activated sludge facility also occurs in streams and other aquatic environments. However, in general, when a degradable waste is put into a stream, it encounters only a relatively small population of microorganisms capable of carrying out the degradation process. Thus, several days may be required for the buildup of a sufficient population of organisms to degrade the waste. In the activated sludge process, continual recycling of active organisms provides the optimum conditions for waste degradation, and a waste may be degraded within the very few hours that it is present in the aeration tank.

The activated sludge process provides two pathways for the removal of BOD, as illustrated schematically in Figure 8.4. BOD may be removed by (1) oxidation of organic matter to provide energy for the metabolic processes of the microorganisms, and (2) synthesis, incorporation of the organic matter into cell mass. In the first pathway, carbon is removed in the gaseous form as  $CO_2$ . The second pathway provides for removal of carbon as a solid in biomass. That portion of the carbon converted to  $CO_2$  is vented to the atmosphere and does not present a disposal problem. The disposal of waste sludge, however, is a problem, primarily because it is only about 1% solids and contains many undesirable components. Normally, partial water removal is accomplished by drying on sand filters, vacuum filtration, or centrifugation. The dewatered sludge may be incinerated or used as landfill. To a certain extent, sewage




Figure 8.4. Pathways for the removal of BOD in biological wastewater treatment.

sludge may be digested in the absence of oxygen by methane-producing anaerobic bacteria to produce methane and carbon dioxide,

$$2\{CH_2O\} \quad CH_4 + CO_2$$
 (8.4.1)

a process that reduces both the volatile-matter content and the volume of the sludge by about 60%. A carefully designed plant may produce enough methane to provide for all of its power needs.

One of the most desirable means of sludge disposal is to use it to fertilize and condition soil. However, care has to be taken that excessive levels of heavy metals are not applied to the soil as sludge contaminants. Problems with various kinds of sludges resulting from water treatment are discussed further in Section 8.10.

Activated sludge wastewater treatment is the most common example of an aerobic suspended culture process. Many factors must be considered in the design and operation of an activated sludge wastewater treatment system.<sup>1</sup> These include parameters involved with the process modeling and kinetics. The microbiology of the system must be considered. In addition to BOD removal, phosphorus and nitrogen removal must also be taken into account. Oxygen transfer and solids separation are important. Industrial wastes and the fates and effects of industrial chemicals (xenobiotics) must also be considered.

Nitrification (the microbially mediated conversion of ammonium nitrogen to nitrate; see Section 6.11) is a significant process that occurs during biological waste treatment. Ammonium ion is normally the first inorganic nitrogen species produced in the biodegradation of nitrogenous organic compounds. It is oxidized, under the appropriate conditions, first to nitrite by *Nitrosomonas* bacteria, then to nitrate by *Nitrobacter*:

$$2NH_4^+ + 3O_2 = 4H^+ + 2NO_2^- + 2H_2O$$
 (8.4.2)

$$2NO_{2}^{-} + O_{2}^{-} 2NO_{3}^{-}$$
 (8.4.3)

These reactions occur in the aeration tank of the activated sludge plant and are favored in general by long retention times, low organic loadings, large amounts of suspended solids, and high temperatures. Nitrification can reduce sludge settling efficiency because the denitrification reaction

$$4NO_3 + 5{CH_2O} + 4H^+ 2N_2(g) + 5CO_2(g) + 7H_2O$$
 (8.4.4)

occurring in the oxygen-deficient settler causes bubbles of  $N_2$  to form on the sludge floc (aggregated sludge particles), making it so buoyant that it floats to the top. This prevents settling of the sludge and increases the organic load in the receiving waters. Under the appropriate conditions, however, advantage can be taken of this phenomenon to remove nutrient nitrogen from water (see Section 8.9).

## **Tertiary Waste Treatment**

Unpleasant as the thought may be, many people drink used water-water that has been discharged from a municipal sewage treatment plant or from some industrial process. This raises serious questions about the presence of pathogenic organisms or toxic substances in such water. Because of high population density and heavy industrial development, the problem is especially acute in Europe where some municipalities process 50% or more of their water from "used" sources. Obviously, there is a great need to treat wastewater in a manner that makes it amenable to reuse. This requires treatment beyond the secondary processes.

**Tertiary waste treatment** (sometimes called **advanced waste treatment**) is a term used to describe a variety of processes performed on the effluent from secondary waste treatment.<sup>2</sup> The contaminants removed by tertiary waste treatment fall into the general categories of (1) suspended solids, (2) dissolved organic compounds, and (3) dissolved inorganic materials, including the important class of algal nutrients. Each of these categories presents its own problems with regard to water quality. Suspended solids are primarily responsible for residual biological oxygen demand in secondary sewage effluent waters. The dissolved organics are the most hazardous from the standpoint of potential toxicity. The major problem with dissolved inorganic materials is that presented by algal nutrients, primarily nitrates and phosphates. In addition, potentially hazardous toxic metals may be found among the dissolved inorganics.

In addition to these chemical contaminants, secondary sewage effluent often contains a number of disease-causing microorganisms, requiring disinfection in cases where humans may later come into contact with the water. Among the bacteria that may be found in secondary sewage effluent are organisms causing tuberculosis, dysenteric bacteria (*Bacillus dysenteriae*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Shigella paradysenteriae*, *Proteus vulgaris*), cholera bacteria (*Vibrio cholerae*), bacteria causing mud fever (*Leptospira icterohemorrhagiae*), and bacteria causing typhoid fever (*Salmonella typhosa*, *Salmonella paratyphi*). In addition, viruses causing diarrhea, eye infections, infectious hepatitis, and polio may be encountered. Ingestion of sewage still causes disease, even in more developed nations.

# **Physical-Chemical Treatment of Municipal Wastewater**

Complete physical-chemical wastewater treatment systems offer both advantages and disadvantages relative to biological treatment systems. The capital costs of physical-chemical facilities can be less than those of biological treatment facilities, and they usually require less land. They are better able to cope with toxic materials and overloads. However, they require careful operator control and consume relatively large amounts of energy.

Basically, a physical-chemical treatment process involves:

- Removal of scum and solid objects
- Clarification, generally with addition of a coagulant, and frequently with the addition of other chemicals (such as lime for phosphorus removal)
- Filtration to remove filterable solids
- Activated carbon adsorption
- Disinfection

The basic steps of a complete physical-chemical wastewater treatment facility are shown in Figure 8.5.

During the early 1970s, it appeared likely that physical-chemical treatment would largely replace biological treatment. However, higher chemical and energy costs since then have slowed the development of physical-chemical facilities.




Figure 8.5. Major components of a complete physical-chemical treatment facility for municipal wastewater.

## **8.5. INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT**

Before treatment, industrial wastewater should be characterized fully and the biodegradability of wastewater constituents determined. The options available for the treatment of wastewater are summarized briefly in this section and discussed in greater detail in later sections.

One of two major ways of removing organic wastes is biological treatment by an activated sludge or related process (see Section 8.4 and Figure 8.3). It may be necessary to acclimate microorganisms to the degradation of constituents that are not

normally biodegradable. Consideration needs to be given to possible hazards of biotreatment sludges, such as those containing excessive levels of heavy metal ions. The other major process for the removal of organics from wastewater is sorption by activated carbon (see Section 8.8), usually in columns of granular activated carbon. Activated carbon and biological treatment can be combined with the use of powdered activated carbon in the activated sludge process. The powdered activated carbon sorbs some constituents that may be toxic to microorganisms and is collected with the sludge. A major consideration with the use of activated carbon to treat wastewater is the hazard that spent activated carbon may present from the wastes it retains. These hazards may include those of toxicity or reactivity, such as those posed by wastes from the manufacture of explosives sorbed to activated carbon. Regeneration of the carbon is expensive and can be hazardous in some cases.

Wastewater can be treated by a variety of chemical processes, including acid/base neutralization, precipitation, and oxidation/reduction. Sometimess these steps must precede biological treatment; for example, acidic or alkaline wastewater must be neutralized in order for microorganisms to thrive in it. Cyanide in the wastewater may be oxidized with chlorine and organics with ozone, hydrogen peroxide promoted with ultraviolet radiation, or dissolved oxygen at high temperatures and pressures. Heavy metals may be precipitated with base, carbonate, or sulfide.

Wastewater can be treated by several physical processes. In some cases, simple density separation and sedimentation can be used to remove water-immiscible liquids and solids. Filtration is frequently required, and flotation by gas bubbles generated on particle surfaces may be useful. Wastewater solutes can be concentrated by evaporation, distillation, and membrane processes, including reverse osmosis, hyperfiltration, and ultrafiltration. Organic constituents can be removed by solvent extraction, air stripping, or steam stripping.

Synthetic resins are useful for removing some pollutant solutes from wastewater. Organophilic resins have proven useful for the removal of alcohols; aldehydes; ketones; hydrocarbons; chlorinated alkanes, alkenes, and aryl compounds; esters, including phthalate esters; and pesticides. Cation exchange resins are effective for the removal of heavy metals.


## **8.6. REMOVAL OF SOLIDS**

Relatively large solid particles are removed from water by simple **settling** and **filtration**. A special type of filtration procedure known as **microstraining** is especially effective in the removal of the very small particles. These filters are woven from stainless steel wire so fine that it is barely visible. This enables preparation of filters with openings only 60-70  $\mu$ m across. These openings may be reduced to 5-15  $\mu$ m by partial clogging with small particles, such as bacterial cells. The cost of this treatment is likely to be substantially lower than the costs of competing processes. High flow rates at low back pressures are normally achieved.

The removal of colloidal solids from water usually requires **coagulation**. Salts of aluminum and iron are the coagulants most often used in water treatment. Of these, alum or filter alum is most commonly used. This substance is a hydrated aluminum sulfate,  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ •18H<sub>2</sub>O. When this salt is added to water, the aluminum ion hydrolyzes by reactions that consume alkalinity in the water, such as:

$$Al(H_2O)_6^{3+} + 3HCO_3^{-}$$
  $Al(OH)_3(s) + 3CO_2 + 6H_2O$  (8.6.1)

The gelatinous hydroxide thus formed carries suspended material with it as it settles. Furthermore, it is likely that positively charged hydroxyl-bridged dimers such as



and higher polymers are formed which interact specifically with colloidal particles, bringing about coagulation. Sodium silicate partially neutralized by acid aids coagulation, particularly when used with alum. Metal ions in coagulants also react with virus proteins and destroy viruses in water.

Anhydrous iron(III) sulfate added to water forms iron(III) hydroxide in a reaction analogous to Reaction 8.6.1. An advantage of iron(III) sulfate is that it works over a wide pH range of approximately 4-11. Hydrated iron(II) sulfate, or copperas,  $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ , is also commonly used as a coagulant. It forms a gelatinous precipitate of hydrated iron(III) oxide; in order to function, it must be oxidized to iron(III) by dissolved oxygen in the water at a pH higher than 8.5, or by chlorine, which can oxidize iron(II) at lower pH values.

Natural and synthetic polyelectrolytes are used in flocculating particles. Among the natural compounds so used are starch and cellulose derivatives, proteinaceous materials, and gums composed of polysaccharides. More recently, selected synthetic polymers, including neutral polymers and both anionic and cationic polyelectrolytes, that are effective flocculants have come into use.

**Coagulation-filtration** is a much more effective procedure than filtration alone for the removal of suspended material from water. As the term implies, the process consists of the addition of coagulants that aggregate the particles into larger size particles, followed by filtration. Either alum or lime, often with added polyelectrolytes, is most commonly employed for coagulation .

The filtration step of coagulation-filtration is usually performed on a medium such as sand or anthracite coal. Often, to reduce clogging, several media with progressively smaller interstitial spaces are used. One example is the **rapid sand filter**, which consists of a layer of sand supported by layers of gravel particles, the particles becoming progressively larger with increasing depth. The substance that actually filters the water is coagulated material that collects in the sand. As more material is removed, the buildup of coagulated material eventually clogs the filter and must be removed by back-flushing.

An important class of solids that must be removed from wastewater consists of suspended solids in secondary sewage effluent that arise primarily from sludge that was not removed in the settling process. These solids account for a large part of the BOD in the effluent and may interfere with other aspects of tertiary waste treatment, such as by clogging membranes in reverse osmosis water treatment processes. The quantity of material involved may be rather high. Processes designed to remove suspended solids often will remove 10-20 mg/L of organic material from secondary sewage effluent. In addition, a small amount of the inorganic material is removed.

## 8.7. REMOVAL OF CALCIUM AND OTHER METALS

Calcium and magnesium salts, which generally are present in water as bicarbonates or sulfates, cause water hardness. One of the most common manifestations of water hardness is the insoluble "curd" formed by the reaction of soap with calcium or magnesium ions. The formation of these insoluble soap salts is discussed in Section 7.10. Although ions that cause water hardness do not form insoluble products with detergents, they do adversely affect detergent performance. Therefore, calcium and magnesium must be complexed or removed from water in order for detergents to function properly.

Another problem caused by hard water is the formation of mineral deposits. For example, when water containing calcium and bicarbonate ions is heated, insoluble calcium carbonate is formed:

$$Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3 - CaCO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O$$
 (8.7.1)

This product coats the surfaces of hot water systems, clogging pipes and reducing heating efficiency. Dissolved salts such as calcium and magnesium bicarbonates and sulfates can be especially damaging in boiler feedwater. Clearly, the removal of water hardness is essential for many uses of water.

Several processes are used for softening water. On a large scale, such as in community water-softening operations, the lime-soda process is used. This process involves the treatment of water with lime,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , and soda ash,  $Na_2CO_3$ . Calcium is precipitated as  $CaCO_3$  and magnesium as  $Mg(OH)_2$ . When the calcium is present primarily as "bicarbonate hardness," it can be removed by the addition of  $Ca(OH)_2$  alone:

$$Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-} + Ca(OH)_2 = 2CaCO_3(s) + 2H_2O$$
 (8.7.2)

When bicarbonate ion is not present at substantial levels, a source of  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  must be provided at a high enough pH to prevent conversion of most of the carbonate to bicarbonate. These conditions are obtained by the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. For example, calcium present as the chloride can be removed from water by the addition of soda ash:

$$Ca^{2+} + 2Cl^{-} + 2Na^{+} + CO_{3}^{2-}$$
  $CaCO_{3}(s) + 2Cl^{-} + 2Na^{+}$  (8.7.3)

Note that the removal of bicarbonate hardness results in a net removal of soluble salts from solution, whereas removal of nonbicarbonate hardness involves the addition of at least as many equivalents of ionic material as are removed.

The precipitation of magnesium as the hydroxide requires a higher pH than the precipitation of calcium as the carbonate:

$$Mg^{2+} + 2OH^{-} Mg(OH)_{2}(s)$$
 (8.7.4)

The high pH required may be provided by the basic carbonate ion from soda ash:

$$CO_3^{2-} + H_2O = HCO_3^{-} + OH^{-}$$
 (8.7.5)

~

Some large-scale lime-soda softening plants make use of the precipitated calcium carbonate product as a source of additional lime. The calcium carbonate is first heated to at least 825°C to produce quicklime, CaO:

$$CaCO_3 + heat \quad CaO + CO_2(g)$$
 (8.7.6)

The quicklime is then slaked with water to produce calcium hydroxide:

$$CaO + H_2O \qquad Ca(OH)_2 \tag{8.7.7}$$

The water softened by lime-soda softening plants usually suffers from two defects. First, because of super-saturation effects, some  $CaCO_3$  and  $Mg(OH)_2$  usually remain in solution. If not removed, these compounds will precipitate at a later time and cause harmful deposits or undesirable cloudiness in water. The second problem results from the use of highly basic sodium carbonate, which gives the product water an excessively high pH, up to pH 11. To overcome these problems, the water is recarbonated by bubbling  $CO_2$  into it. The carbon dioxide converts the slightly soluble calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide to their soluble bicarbonate forms:

$$CaCO_3(s) + CO_2 + H_2O$$
  $Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-}$  (8.7.8)

$$Mg(OH)_2(s) + 2CO_2 \qquad Mg^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-1}$$
 (8.7.9)

The CO<sub>2</sub> also neutralizes excess hydroxide ion:

$$OH^{-} + CO_{2} \qquad HCO_{3}^{-} \qquad (8.7.10)$$

The pH generally is brought within the range 7.5-8.5 by recarbonation. The source of  $CO_2$  used in the recarbonation process may be from the combustion of carbonaceous fuel. Scrubbed stack gas from a power plant frequently is utilized. Water adjusted to a pH, alkalinity, and  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration very close to  $CaCO_3$  saturation is labeled *chemically stabilized*. It neither precipitates  $CaCO_3$  in water mains, which can clog the pipes, nor dissolves protective  $CaCO_3$  coatings from the pipe surfaces. Water with  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration much below  $CaCO_3$  saturation is called an *aggressive* water.

Calcium may be removed from water very efficiently by the addition of orthophosphate:

$$5Ca^{2+} + 3PO_4^{3-} + OH^- Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3(s)$$
 (8.7.11)

It should be pointed out that the chemical formation of a slightly soluble product for the removal of undesired solutes such as hardness ions, phosphate, iron, and manganese must be followed by sedimentation in a suitable apparatus. Frequently, coagulants must be added, and filtration employed for complete removal of these sediments. Water may be purified by ion exchange, the reversible transfer of ions between aquatic solution and a solid material capable of bonding ions. The removal of NaCl from solution by two ion exchange reactions is a good illustration of this process. First the water is passed over a solid cation exchanger in the hydrogen form, represented by  $H^{+-}{Cat(s)}$ :

$$H^{+-}{Cat(s)} + Na^{+} + Cl^{-} Na^{+-}{Cat(s)} + H^{+} + Cl^{-}$$
 (8.7.12)

Next, the water is passed over an anion exchanger in the hydroxide ion form, represented by  $OH^{-+}{An(s)}$ :

$$OH^{-+}{An(s)} + H^{+} + Cl^{--} Cl^{-+}{An(s)} + H_2O$$
 (8.7.13)

Thus, the cations in solution are replaced by hydrogen ion and the anions by hydroxide ion, yielding water as the product.

The softening of water by ion exchange does not require the removal of all ionic solutes, just those cations responsible for water hardness. Generally, therefore, only a cation exchanger is necessary. Furthermore, the sodium rather than the hydrogen form of the cation exchanger is used, and the divalent cations are replaced by sodium ion. Sodium ion at low concentrations is harmless in water to be used for most purposes, and sodium chloride is a cheap and convenient substance with which to recharge the cation exchangers.

A number of materials have ion-exchanging properties. Among the minerals especially noted for their ion exchange properties are the aluminum silicate minerals, or **zeolites**. An example of a zeolite which has been used commercially in water softening is glauconite,  $K_2(MgFe)_2Al_6(Si_4O_{10})_3(OH)_{12}$ . Synthetic zeolites have been prepared by drying and crushing the white gel produced by mixing solutions of sodium silicate and sodium aluminate.

The discovery in the mid-1930s of synthetic ion exchange resins composed of organic polymers with attached functional groups marked the beginning of modern ion exchange technology. Structural formulas of typical synthetic ion exchangers are shown in Figures 8.6 and 8.7. The cation exchanger shown in Figure 8.6 is called a **strongly acidic cation exchanger** because the parent  $-SO_3^-H^+$  group is a strong acid. When the functional group binding the cation is the  $-CO_2^-$  group, the exchange resin is called a **weakly acidic cation exchanger**, because the  $-CO_2^-$  group is a weak acid. Figure 8.7 shows a **strongly basic anion exchanger** in which the functional group is a quaternary ammonium group,  $-N^+(CH_3)_3$ . In the hydroxide form,  $-N^+(CH_3)_3$ OH<sup>-</sup>, the hydroxide ion is readily released, so the exchanger is classified as **strongly basic**.

The water-softening capability of a cation exchanger is shown in Figure 8.6, where sodium ion on the exchanger is exchanged for calcium ion in solution. The same reaction occurs with magnesium ion. Water softening by cation exchange is now a widely used, effective, and economical process. In many areas having a low water flow, however, it is not likely that home water softening by ion exchange may be used universally without some deterioration of water quality arising from the contamination of wastewater by sodium chloride. Such contamination results from




Figure 8.6. Strongly acidic cation exchanger. Sodium exchange for calcium in water is shown.




Figure 8.7. Strongly basic anion exchanger. Chloride exchange for hydroxide ion is shown.

the periodic need to regenerate a water softener with sodium chloride in order to displace calcium and magnesium ions from the resin and replace these hardness ions with sodium ions:

$$\operatorname{Ca}^{2+-}{\operatorname{Cat}(s)}_{2} + 2\operatorname{Na}^{+} + 2\operatorname{Cl}^{-} 2\operatorname{Na}^{+-}{\operatorname{Cat}(s)} + \operatorname{Ca}^{2+} + 2\operatorname{Cl}^{-} (8.7.14)$$

During the regeneration process, a large excess of sodium chloride must be used — several pounds for a home water softener. Appreciable amounts of dissolved sodium chloride can be introduced into sewage by this route.

Strongly acidic cation exchangers are used for the removal of water hardness. Weakly acidic cation exchangers having the  $-CO_2H$  group as a functional group are useful for removing alkalinity. Alkalinity generally is manifested by bicarbonate ion, a species that is a sufficiently strong base to neutralize the acid of a weak acid cation exchanger:

$$2R-CO_2H + Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3$$
 [R-CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ca<sup>2+</sup> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O + 2CO<sub>2</sub> (8.7.15)

However, weak bases such as sulfate ion or chloride ion are not strong enough to remove hydrogen ion from the carboxylic acid exchanger. An additional advantage of these exchangers is that they may be regenerated almost stoichiometrically with dilute strong acids, thus avoiding the potential pollution problem caused by the use of excess sodium chloride to regenerate strongly acidic cation changers. *Chelation* or, as it is sometimes known, *sequestration*, is an effective method of softening water without actually having to remove calcium and magnesium from solution. A complexing agent is added which greatly reduces the concentrations of free hydrated cations, as shown by some of the example calculations in Chapter 3. For example, chelating calcium ion with excess EDTA anion ( $Y^{4-}$ ),

$$Ca^{2+} + Y^{4-} CaY^{2-}$$
 (8.7.16)

reduces the concentration of hydrated calcium ion, preventing the precipitation of calcium carbonate:

$$Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} CaCO_3(s)$$
 (8.7.17)

Polyphosphate salts, EDTA, and NTA (see Chapter 3) are chelating agents commonly used for water softening. Polysilicates are used to complex iron.

#### **Removal of Iron and Manganese**

Soluble iron and manganese are found in many groundwaters because of reducing conditions which favor the soluble +2 oxidation state of these metals (see Chapter 4). Iron is the more commonly encountered of the two metals. In groundwater, the level of iron seldom exceeds 10 mg/L, and that of manganese is rarely higher than 2 mg/L. The basic method for removing both of these metals depends upon oxidation to higher insoluble oxidation states. The oxidation is generally accomplished by aeration. The rate of oxidation is pH-dependent in both cases, with a high pH favoring more rapid oxidation. The oxidation of soluble Mn(II) to insoluble MnO<sub>2</sub> is a complicated process. It appears to be catalyzed by solid MnO<sub>2</sub>, which is known to adsorb Mn(II). This adsorbed Mn(II) is slowly oxidized on the MnO<sub>2</sub> surface.

Chlorine and potassium permanganate are sometimes employed as oxidizing agents for iron and manganese. There is some evidence that organic chelating agents with reducing properties hold iron(II) in a soluble form in water. In such cases, chlorine is effective because it destroys the organic compounds and enables the oxidation of iron(II).

In water with a high level of carbonate,  $FeCO_3$  and  $MnCO_3$  may be precipitated directly by raising the pH above 8.5 by the addition of sodium carbonate or lime. This approach is less popular than oxidation, however.

Relatively high levels of insoluble iron(III) and manganese(IV) frequently are found in water as colloidal material which is difficult to remove. These metals may be associated with humic colloids or "peptizing" organic material that binds to colloidal metal oxides, stabilizing the colloid.

Heavy metals such as copper, cadmium, mercury, and lead are found in wastewaters from a number of industrial processes. Because of the toxicity of many heavy metals, their concentrations must be reduced to very low levels prior to release of the wastewater. A number of approaches are used in heavy metals removal.

*Lime treatment*, discussed earlier in this section for calcium removal, precipitates heavy metals as insoluble hydroxides, basic salts, or coprecipitated with

calcium carbonate or iron(III) hydroxide. This process does not completely remove mercury, cadmium, or lead, so their removal is aided by addition of sulfide (most heavy metals are sulfide-seekers):

$$Cd^{2+} + S^{2-} CdS(s)$$
 (8.7.18)

Heavy chlorination is frequently necessary to break down metal-solubilizing ligands (see Chapter 3). Lime precipitation does not normally permit recovery of metals and is sometimes undesirable from the economic viewpoint.

**Electrodeposition** (reduction of metal ions to metal by electrons at an electrode), *reverse osmosis* (see Section 8.9), and *ion exchange* are frequently employed for metal removal. Solvent extraction using organic-soluble chelating substances is also effective in removing many metals. **Cementation**, a process by which a metal deposits by reaction of its ion with a more readily oxidized metal, may be employed:

 $Cu^{2+} + Fe (iron scrap) = Fe^{2+} + Cu$  (8.7.19)

Activated carbon adsorption effectively removes some metals from water at the part per million level. Sometimes a chelating agent is sorbed to the charcoal to increase metal removal.

Even when not specifically designed for the removal of heavy metals, most waste treatment processes remove appreciable quantities of the more troublesome heavy metals encountered in wastewater. Biological waste treatment effectively removes metals from water. These metals accumulate in the sludge from biological treatment, so sludge disposal must be given careful consideration.

Various physical-chemical treatment processes effectively remove heavy metals from wastewaters. One such treatment is lime precipitation followed by activatedcarbon filtration. Activated-carbon filtration may also be preceded by treatment with iron(III) chloride to form an iron(III) hydroxide floc, which is an effective heavy metals scavenger. Similarly, alum, which forms aluminum hydroxide, may be added prior to activated-carbon filtration.

The form of the heavy metal has a strong effect upon the efficiency of metal removal. For instance, chromium(VI) is normally more difficult to remove than chromium(III). Chelation may prevent metal removal by solubilizing metals (see Chapter 3).

In the past, removal of heavy metals has been largely a fringe benefit of wastewater treatment processes. Currently, however, more consideration is being given to design and operating parameters that specifically enhance heavy-metals removal as part of wastewater treatment.

# 8.8. REMOVAL OF DISSOLVED ORGANICS

Very low levels of exotic organic compounds in drinking water are suspected of contributing to cancer and other maladies. Water disinfection processes, which by their nature involve chemically rather severe conditions, particularly of oxidation, have a tendency to produce **disinfection by-products**. Some of these are chlorinated

organic compounds produced by chlorination of organics in water, especially humic substances. Removal of organics to very low levels prior to chlorination has been found to be effective in preventing trihalomethane formation. Another major class of disinfection by-products consists of organoxygen compounds such as aldehydes, carboxylic acids, and oxoacids.

A variety of organic compounds survive, or are produced by, secondary wastewater treatment and should be considered as factors in discharge or reuse of the treated water. Almost half of these are humic substances (see Section 3.17) with a molecular-weight range of 1000-5000. Among the remainder are found etherextractable materials, carbohydrates, proteins, detergents, tannins, and lignins. The humic compounds, because of their high molecular weight and anionic character, influence some of the physical and chemical aspects of waste treatment. The etherextractables contain many of the compounds that are resistant to biodegradation and are of particular concern regarding potential toxicity, carcinogenicity, and mutagenicity. In the ether extract are found many fatty acids, hydrocarbons of the *n*alkane class, naphthalene, diphenylmethane, diphenyl, methylnaphthalene, isopropylbenzene, dodecylbenzene, phenol, phthalates, and triethylphosphate.

The standard method for the removal of dissolved organic material is adsorption on activated carbon, a product that is produced from a variety of carbonaceous materials including wood, pulp-mill char, peat, and lignite.<sup>3</sup> The carbon is produced by charring the raw material anaerobically below 600°C, followed by an activation step consisting of partial oxidation. Carbon dioxide may be employed as an oxidizing agent at 600-700°C.

$$CO_2 + C = 2CO$$
 (8.8.1)

or the carbon may be oxidized by water at 800-900°C:

$$H_2O + C = H_2 + CO$$
 (8.8.2)

These processes develop porosity, increase the surface area, and leave the C atoms in arrangements that have affinities for organic compounds.

Activated carbon comes in two general types: granulated activated carbon, consisting of particles 0.1-1 mm in diameter, and powdered activated carbon, in which most of the particles are 50-100  $\mu$ m in diameter.

The exact mechanism by which activated carbon holds organic materials is not known. However, one reason for the effectiveness of this material as an adsorbent is its tremendous surface area. A solid cubic foot of carbon particles may have a combined pore and surface area of approximately 10 square miles!

Although interest is increasing in the use of powdered activated carbon for water treatment, currently granular carbon is more widely used. It may be employed in a fixed bed, through which water flows downward. Accumulation of particulate matter requires periodic backwashing. An expanded bed in which particles are kept slightly separated by water flowing upward may be used with less chance of clogging.

Economics require regeneration of the carbon, which is accomplished by heating it to 950°C in a steam-air atmosphere. This process oxidizes adsorbed organics and regenerates the carbon surface, with an approximately 10% loss of carbon.

Removal of organics may also be accomplished by adsorbent synthetic polymers. Such polymers as Amberlite XAD-4 have hydrophobic surfaces and strongly attract relatively insoluble organic compounds, such as chlorinated pesticides. The porosity of these polymers is up to 50% by volume, and the surface area may be as high as  $850 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ . They are readily regenerated by solvents such as isopropanol and acetone. Under appropriate operating conditions, these polymers remove virtually all nonionic organic solutes; for example, phenol at 250 mg/L is reduced to less than 0.1 mg/L by appropriate treatment with Amberlite XAD-4.

Oxidation of dissolved organics holds some promise for their removal. Ozone, hydrogen peroxide, molecular oxygen (with or without catalysts), chlorine and its derivatives, permanganate, or ferrate (iron(VI)) can be used. Electrochemical oxidation may be possible in some cases. High-energy electron beams produced by high-voltage electron accelerators also have the potential to destroy organic compounds.

## **Removal of Herbicides**

Because of their widespread application and persistence, herbicides have proven to be particularly troublesome in drinking water sources. Their levels vary with season related to times that they are applied to control weeds. The more soluble ones, such as chlorophenoxy esters, are most likely to enter drinking water sources. One of the most troublesome is atrazine, which is often manifested by its metabolite desethylatrazine. Activated carbon treatment is the best means of removing herbicides and their metabolites from drinking water sources.<sup>4</sup> A problem with activated carbon is that of **preloading**, in which natural organic matter in the water loads up the carbon and hinders uptake of pollutant organics such as herbicides. Pretreatment to remove such organic matter, such as flocculation and precipitation of humic substances, can significantly increase the efficacy of activated carbon for the removal of herbicides and other organics.


# 8.9. REMOVAL OF DISSOLVED INORGANICS

In order for complete water recycling to be feasible, inorganic-solute removal is essential. The effluent from secondary waste treatment generally contains 300-400 mg/L more dissolved inorganic material than does the municipal water supply. It is obvious, therefore, that 100% water recycling without removal of inorganics would cause the accumulation of an intolerable level of dissolved material. Even when water is not destined for immediate reuse, the removal of the inorganic nutrients phosphorus and nitrogen is highly desirable to reduce eutrophication downstream. In some cases the removal of toxic trace metals is needed.

One of the most obvious methods for removing inorganics from water is distillation. However, the energy required for distillation is generally quite high, so that distillation is not generally economically feasible. Furthermore, volatile materials such as ammonia and odorous compounds are carried over to a large extent in the distillation process unless special preventative measures are taken. Freezing produces a very pure water, but is considered uneconomical with present technology. This leaves membrane processes as the most cost-effective means of removing inorganic materials from water. Membrane processes considered most promising for bulk removal of inorganics from water are electrodialysis, ion exchange, and reverse osmosis. (Other membrane processes used in water purification are nanofiltration, ultrafiltration,<sup>5</sup> microfiltration, and dialysis.)

## **Electrodialysis**

**Electrodialysis** consists of applying a direct current across a body of water separated into vertical layers by membranes alternately permeable to cations and anions.<sup>6</sup> Cations migrate toward the cathode and anions toward the anode. Cations and anions both enter one layer of water, and both leave the adjacent layer. Thus, layers of water enriched in salts alternate with those from which salts have been removed. The water in the brine-enriched layers is recirculated to a certain extent to prevent excessive accumulation of brine. The principles involved in electrodialysis treatment are shown in Figure 8.8.



Water solution concentrated in salts

Figure 8.8. Electrodialysis apparatus for the removal of ionic material from water.

Fouling caused by various materials can cause problems with reverse osmosis treatment of water. Although the relatively small ions constituting the salts dissolved in wastewater readily pass through the membranes, large organic ions (proteins, for example) and charged colloids migrate to the membrane surfaces, often fouling or plugging the membranes and reducing efficiency. In addition, growth of microorganisms on the membranes can cause fouling.

Experience with pilot plants indicates that electrodialysis has the potential to be a practical and economical method to remove up to 50% of the dissolved inorganics from secondary sewage effluent after pretreatment to eliminate fouling substances.

Such a level of efficiency would permit repeated recycling of water without dissolved inorganic materials reaching unacceptably high levels.

#### Ion Exchange

The ion exchange method for softening water is described in detail in Section 8.7. The ion exchange process used for removal of inorganics consists of passing the water successively over a solid cation exchanger and a solid anion exchanger, which replace cations and anions by hydrogen ion and hydroxide ion, respectively, so that each equivalent of salt is replaced by a mole of water. For the hypothetical ionic salt MX, the reactions are the following where  $-\{Cat(s)\}$  represents the solid cation exchanger.:

$$H^{+-}{Cat(s)} + M^{+} + X^{-} \qquad M^{+-}{Cat(s)} + H^{+} + X^{-}$$
(8.9.1)

$$OH^{-+} \{An(s)\} + H^{+} + X^{--} X^{-+} \{An(s)\} + H_2O$$
(8.9.2)

The cation exchanger is regenerated with strong acid and the anion exchanger with strong base.

Demineralization by ion exchange generally produces water of a very high quality. Unfortunately, some organic compounds in wastewater foul ion exchangers, and microbial growth on the exchangers can diminish their efficiency. In addition, regeneration of the resins is expensive, and the concentrated wastes from regeneration require disposal in a manner that will not damage the environment.

### **Reverse Osmosis**

**Reverse osmosis**, Figure 8.9, is a very useful and well-developed technique for the purification of water.<sup>7</sup> Basically, it consists of forcing pure water through a semipermeable membrane that allows the passage of water but not of other material. This process, which is not simply sieve separation or ultrafiltration, depends on the preferential sorption of water on the surface of a porous cellulose acetate or polyamide membrane. Pure water from the sorbed layer is forced through pores in the membrane under pressure. If the thickness of the sorbed water layer is d, the pore diameter for optimum separation should be 2d. The optimum pore diameter depends upon the thickness of the sorbed pure water layer and may be several times the diameters of the solute and solvent molecules.

## **Phosphorus Removal**

Advanced waste treatment normally requires removal of phosphorus to reduce algal growth. Algae may grow at  $PO_4^{3-}$  levels as low as 0.05 mg/L. Growth inhibition requires levels well below 0.5 mg/L. Since municipal wastes typically contain approximately 25 mg/L of phosphate (as orthophosphates, polyphosphates, and insoluble phosphates), the efficiency of phosphate removal must be quite high to prevent algal growth. This removal may occur in the sewage treatment process (1) in the primary settler; (2) in the aeration chamber of the activated sludge unit; or (3) after secondary waste treatment.




Figure 8.9. Solute removal from water by reverse osmosis.

Activated sludge treatment removes about 20% of the phosphorus from sewage. Thus, an appreciable fraction of largely biological phosphorus is removed with the sludge. Detergents and other sources contribute significant amounts of phosphorus to domestic sewage and considerable phosphate ion remains in the effluent. However, some wastes, such as carbohydrate wastes from sugar refineries, are so deficient in phosphorus that supplementation of the waste with inorganic phosphorus is required for proper growth of the microorganisms degrading the wastes.

Under some sewage plant operating conditions, much greater than normal phosphorus removal has been observed. In such plants, characterized by high dissolved oxygen and high pH levels in the aeration tank, removal of 60-90% of the phosphorus has been attained, yielding two or three times the normal level of phosphorus in the sludge. In a conventionally operated aeration tank of an activated sludge plant, the CO<sub>2</sub> level is relatively high because of release of the gas by the degradation of organic material. A high CO<sub>2</sub> level results in a relatively low pH, due to the presence of carbonic acid. The aeration rate is generally not maintained at a very high level because oxygen is transferred relatively more efficiently from air when the dissolved oxygen levels in water are relatively low. Therefore, the aeration rate normally is not high enough to sweep out sufficient dissolved carbon dioxide to bring its concentration down to low levels. Thus, the pH generally is low enough that phosphate is maintained primarily in the form of the  $H_2PO_4^-$  ion. However, at a higher rate of aeration in a relatively hard water, the CO<sub>2</sub> is swept out, the pH rises, and reactions such as the following occur:

$$5Ca^{2+} + 3HPO_4^{2-} + H_2O = Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3(s) + 4H^+$$
 (8.9.3)

The precipitated hydroxyapatite or other form of calcium phosphate is incorporated in the sludge floc. Reaction 8.9.3 is strongly hydrogen ion-dependent, and an increase in the hydrogen ion concentration drives the equilibrium back to the left. Thus, under anaerobic conditions when the sludge medium becomes more acidic due to higher  $CO_2$  levels, the calcium returns to solution.

Chemically, phosphate is most commonly removed by precipitation. Some common precipitants and their products are shown in Table 8.1. Precipitation processes are capable of at least 90-95% phosphorus removal at reasonable cost.

Precipitant(s)	Products	
Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	$Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3$ (hydroxyapatite)	
$Ca(OH)_2 + NaF$	$Ca_5F(PO_4)_3$ (fluorapatite)	
$Al_2(SO_4)_3$	AlPO <sub>4</sub>	
FeCl <sub>3</sub>	FePO <sub>4</sub>	
$MgSO_4$	MgNH <sub>4</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	

 Table 8.1. Chemical Precipitants for Phosphate and Their Products

Lime, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, is the chemical most commonly used for phosphorus removal:

$$5Ca(OH)_2 + 3HPO_4^{2-}$$
  $Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3(s) + 3H_2O + 6OH^{-}$  (8.9.4)

Lime has the advantages of low cost and ease of regeneration. The efficiency with which phosphorus is removed by lime is not as high as would be predicted by the low solubility of hydroxyapatite,  $Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3$ . Some of the possible reasons for this are slow precipitation of  $Ca_5OH(PO_4)_3$ , formation of nonsettling colloids; precipitation of calcium as  $CaCO_3$  in certain pH ranges, and the fact that phosphate may be present as condensed phosphates (polyphosphates) which form soluble complexes with calcium ion.

Phosphate can be removed from solution by adsorption on some solids, particularly activated alumina,  $Al_2O_3$ . Removals of up to 99.9% of orthophosphate have been achieved with this method.

#### Nitrogen Removal

Next to phosphorus, nitrogen is the algal nutrient most commonly removed as part of advanced wastewater treatment. The techniques most often used for nitrogen removal are summarized in Table 8.2. Nitrogen in municipal wastewater generally is present as organic nitrogen or ammonia. Ammonia is the primary nitrogen product produced by most biological waste treatment processes. This is because it is expensive to aerate sewage sufficiently to oxidize the ammonia to nitrate through the action of nitrifying bacteria. If the activated sludge process is operated under conditions such that the nitrogen is maintained in the form of ammonia, the latter may be stripped in the form of  $NH_3$  gas from the water by air. For ammonia stripping to work, the ammoniacal nitrogen must be converted to volatile  $NH_3$  gas, which requires a pH substantially higher than the  $pK_a$  of the  $NH_4^+$  ion. In practice, the pH is raised to approximately 11.5 by the addition of lime (which also serves to remove phosphate). The ammonia is stripped from the water by air.

Nitrification followed by denitrification is arguably the most effective technique for the removal of nitrogen from wastewater. The first step is an essentially complete conversion of ammonia and organic nitrogen to nitrate under strongly aerobic conditions, achieved by more extensive than normal aeration of the sewage:

$$NH_4^+ + 2O_2$$
 (Nitrifying bacteria)  $NO_3^- + 2H^+ + H_2O$  (8.9.5)

 Table 8.2. Common Processes for the Removal of Nitrogen from Wastewater<sup>1</sup>

Process	Principles and conditions
Air stripping ammonia	Ammonium ion is the initial product of biodegradation of nitrogen waste. It is removed by raising the pH to approximately 11 with lime, and stripping ammonia gas from the water by air in a stripping tower. Scaling, icing, and air pollution are the main disadvantages.
Ammonium ion exchange	Clinoptilolite, a natural zeolite, selectively removes ammonium ion by ion exchange: $Na^{+}{-clinoptilolite}$ + $NH_{4}^{+}$ $NH_{4}^{+}{-clinoptilolite}$ + $Na^{+}$ . The ion exchanger is regenerated with sodium or calcium salts.
Biosynthesis	The production of biomass in the sewage treatment system and its subsequent removal from the sewage effluent result in a net loss of nitrogen from the system.
Nitrification-denitrification	This approach involves the conversion of ammoniacal nitrogen to nitrate by bacteria under aerobic conditions, $2NH_4^+ + 3O_2 \xrightarrow{Nitrosomonas} 4H^+ + 2NO_2^- + 2H_2O$ $2NO_2^- + O_2 \xrightarrow{Nitrobacter} 2NO_3^-$ followed by production of elemental nitrogen (denitrification): $4NO_3^- + 5\{CH_2O\} + 4H^+ \xrightarrow{Denitrifying} 2N_2(g) + 5CO_2(g) + 7H_2O$ Typically, denitrification is carried out in an anaerobic column with added methanol as a food source (microbial reducing agent).
Chlorination	Reaction of ammonium ion and hypochlorite (from chlorine) results in denitrification by chemical reactions: $NH_4^{+} + HOCl  NH_2Cl + H_2O + H^+$ $2NH_2Cl + HOCl  N_2(g) + 3H^+ + 3 Cl^- H_2O$

The second step is the reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas. This reaction is also bacterially catalyzed and requires a carbon source and a reducing agent such as methanol,  $CH_3OH.^8$ 

 $6NO_3^- + 5CH_3OH + 6H^+$  (Denitrifying bacteria)  $3N_2(g) + 5CO_2 + 13H_2O$  (8.9.6)

The denitrification process may be carried out either in a tank or on a carbon column. In pilot plant operation, conversions of 95% of the ammonia to nitrate and 86% of the nitrate to nitrogen have been achieved.

## **8.10. SLUDGE**

Perhaps the most pressing water treatment problem at this time has to do with sludge collected or produced during water treatment. Finding a safe place to put the sludge or a use for it has proven troublesome, and the problem is aggravated by the growing numbers of water treatment systems.

Some sludge is present in wastewater prior to treatment and may be collected from it. Such sludge includes human wastes, garbage grindings, organic wastes and inorganic silt and grit from storm water runoff, and organic and inorganic wastes from commercial and industrial sources. There are two major kinds of sludge generated in a waste treatment plant. The first of these is organic sludge from activated sludge, trickling filter, or rotating biological reactors. The second is inorganic sludge from the addition of chemicals, such as in phosphorus removal (see Section 8.9).

Most commonly, sewage sludge is subjected to anaerobic digestion in a digester designed to allow bacterial action to occur in the absence of air. This reduces the mass and volume of sludge and ideally results in the formation of a stabilized humus. Disease agents are also destroyed in the process.

Following digestion, sludge is generally conditioned and thickened to concentrate and stabilize it and make it more dewaterable. Relatively inexpensive processes, such as gravity thickening, may be employed to get the moisture content down to about 95%. Sludge may be further conditioned chemically by the addition of iron or aluminum salts, lime, or polymers.

Sludge dewatering is employed to convert the sludge from an essentially liquid material to a damp solid containing not more than about 85% water. This may be accomplished on sludge drying beds consisting of layers of sand and gravel. Mechanical devices may also be employed, including vacuum filtration, centrifugation, and filter presses. Heat may be used to aid the drying process.

Ultimately, disposal of the sludge is required. Two of the main alternatives for sludge disposal are land spreading and incineration.

Rich in nutrients, waste sewage sludge contains around 5% N, 3% P, and 0.5% K on a dry-weight basis and can be used to fertilize and condition soil. The humic material in the sludge improves the physical properties and cation-exchange capacity of the soil. Possible accumulation of heavy metals is of some concern insofar as the use of sludge on cropland is concerned. Sewage sludge is an efficient heavy metals scavenger and may contain elevated levels of zinc, copper, nickel, and cadmium These and other metals tend to remain immobilized in soil by chelation with organic matter, adsorption on clay minerals, and precipitation as insoluble compounds such as oxides or carbonates. However, increased application of sludge on cropland has caused distinctly elevated levels of zinc and cadmium in both leaves and grain of corn. Therefore, caution has been advised in heavy or prolonged application of sewage sludge to soil. Prior control of heavy metal contamination from industrial sources has greatly reduced the heavy metal content of sludge and enabled it to be used more extensively on soil.

An increasing problem in sewage treatment arises from sludge sidestreams. These consist of water removed from sludge by various treatment processes. Sewage treatment processes can be divided into mainstream treatment processes (primary clarification, trickling filter, activated sludge, and rotating biological reactor) and sidestream processes. During sidestream treatment, sludge is dewatered, degraded, and disinfected by a variety of processes, including gravity thickening, dissolved air flotation, anaerobic digestion, aerobic digestion, vacuum filtration, centrifugation, belt-filter press filtration, sand-drying-bed treatment, sludge-lagoon settling, wet air oxidation, pressure filtration, and Purifax treatment. Each of these produces a liquid by-product sidestream which is circulated back to the mainstream. These add to the biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids of the mainstream.

A variety of chemical sludges are produced by various water treatment and industrial processes. Among the most abundant of such sludges is alum sludge produced by the hydrolysis of Al(III) salts used in the treatment of water, which creates gelatinous aluminum hydroxide:

$$Al^{3+} + 3OH^{-}(aq) = Al(OH)_{3}(s)$$
 (8.10.1)

Alum sludges normally are 98% or more water and are very difficult to dewater.

Both iron(II) and iron(III) compounds are used for the removal of impurities from wastewater by precipitation of  $Fe(OH)_3$ . The sludge contains  $Fe(OH)_3$  in the form of soft, fluffy precipitates that are difficult to dewater beyond 10 or 12% solids.

The addition of either lime,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , or quicklime, CaO, to water is used to raise the pH to about 11.5 and cause the precipitation of  $CaCO_3$ , along with metal hydroxides and phosphates. Calcium carbonate is readily recovered from lime sludges and can be recalcined to produce CaO, which can be recycled through the system.

Metal hydroxide sludges are produced in the removal of metals such as lead, chromium, nickel, and zinc from wastewater by raising the pH to such a level that the corresponding hydroxides or hydrated metal oxides are precipitated. The disposal of these sludges is a substantial problem because of their toxic heavy metal content. Reclamation of the metals is an attractive alternative for these sludges.

Pathogenic (disease-causing) microorganisms may persist in the sludge left from the treatment of sewage. Many of these organisms present potential health hazards, and there is risk of public exposure when the sludge is applied to soil. Therefore, it is necessary both to be aware of pathogenic microorganisms in municipal wastewater treatment sludge and to find a means of reducing the hazards caused by their presence.

The most significant organisms in municipal sewage sludge include (1) indicators of fecal pollution, including fecal and total coliform; (2) pathogenic bacteria, including *Salmonellae* and *Shigellae*; (3) enteric (intestinal) viruses, including enterovirus and poliovirus; and (4) parasites, such as *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Ascaris lumbricoides*.

Several ways are recommended to significantly reduce levels of pathogens in sewage sludge. Aerobic digestion involves aerobic agitation of the sludge for periods of 40 to 60 days (longer times are employed with low sludge temperatures). Air drying involves draining and/or drying of the liquid sludge for at least three months in a layer 20-25 cm thick. This operation may be performed on underdrained sand beds or in basins. Anaerobic digestion involves maintenance of the sludge in an anaerobic state for periods of time ranging from 60 days at 20°C to 15 days at

temperatures exceeding 35°C. Composting involves mixing dewatered sludge cake with bulking agents subject to decay, such as wood chips or shredded municipal refuse, and allowing the action of bacteria to promote decay at temperatures ranging up to 45-65°C. The higher temperatures tend to kill pathogenic bacteria. Finally, pathogenic organisms may be destroyed by lime stabilization in which sufficient lime is added to raise the pH of the sludge to 12 or higher.

# 8.11. WATER DISINFECTION

Chlorine is the most commonly used disinfectant employed for killing bacteria in water. When chlorine is added to water, it rapidly hydrolyzes according to the reaction

$$Cl_2 + H_2O \qquad H^+ + Cl^- + HOCl \qquad (8.11.1)$$

which has the following equilibrium constant:

$$K = \frac{[H^+][Cl^-][HOCl]}{[Cl_2]} = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$$
(8.11.2)

Hypochlorous acid, HOCl, is a weak acid that dissociates according to the reaction,

$$HOCI \qquad H^+ + OCI^- \tag{8.11.3}$$

with an ionization constant of  $2.7 \times 10^{-8}$ . From the above it can be calculated that the concentration of elemental Cl<sub>2</sub> is negligible at equilibrium above pH 3 when chlorine is added to water at levels below 1.0 g/L.

Sometimes, hypochlorite salts are substituted for chlorine gas as a disinfectant. Calcium hypochlorite,  $Ca(OCl)_2$ , is commonly used. The hypochlorites are safer to handle than gaseous chlorine.

The two chemical species formed by chlorine in water, HOCl and OCl<sup>-</sup>, are known as **free available chlorine**. Free available chlorine is very effective in killing bacteria. In the presence of ammonia, monochloramine, dichloramine, and trichloramine are formed:

$$NH_4^+ + HOCl \qquad NH_2Cl (monochloramine) + H_2O + H^+$$
 (8.11.4)

$$NH_2Cl + HOCl NHCl_2 ext{ (dichloramine)} + H_2O ext{ (8.11.5)}$$

$$NHCl_2 + HOCl \qquad NCl_3 (trichloramine) + H_2O$$
 (8.11.6)

The chloramines are called **combined available chlorine**. Chlorination practice frequently provides for formation of combined available chlorine which, although a weaker disinfectant than free available chlorine, is more readily retained as a disinfectant throughout the water distribution system. Too much ammonia in water is considered undesirable because it exerts excess demand for chlorine.

At sufficiently high Cl:N molar ratios in water containing ammonia, some HOCl and OCl<sup>-</sup> remain unreacted in solution, and a small quantity of NCl<sub>3</sub> is formed. The

ratio at which this occurs is called the **breakpoint**. Chlorination beyond the breakpoint ensures disinfection. It has the additional advantage of destroying the more common materials that cause odor and taste in water.

At moderate levels of  $NH_3$ -N (approximately 20 mg/L), when the pH is between 5.0 and 8.0, chlorination with a minimum 8:1 weight ratio of Cl to  $NH_3$ -nitrogen produces efficient denitrification:

$$NH_4^+ + HOCl \qquad NH_2Cl + H_2O + H^+$$
 (8.11.4)

$$2NH_2CI + HOCI \qquad N_2(g) + 3H^+ + 3CI^- + H_2O$$
(8.11.7)

This reaction is used to remove pollutant ammonia from wastewater. However, problems can arise from chlorination of organic wastes. Typical of such by-products is chloroform, produced by the chlorination of humic substances in water.

Chlorine is used to treat water other than drinking water. It is employed to disinfect effluent from sewage treatment plants, as an additive to the water in electric power plant cooling towers, and to control microorganisms in food processing.

#### **Chlorine Dioxide**

**Chlorine dioxide**,  $ClO_2$ , is an effective water disinfectant that is of particular interest because, in the absence of impurity  $Cl_2$ , it does not produce impurity trihalomethanes in water treatment. In acidic and neutral water, respectively, the two half-reactions for  $ClO_2$  acting as an oxidant are the following:

$$ClO_2 + 4H^+ + 5e^ Cl^- + 2H_2O$$
 (8.11.8)

$$ClO_2 + e^ ClO_2^-$$
 (8.11.9)

In the neutral pH range, chlorine dioxide in water remains largely as molecular  $\text{ClO}_2$  until it contacts a reducing agent with which to react. Chlorine dioxide is a gas that is violently reactive with organic matter and explosive when exposed to light. For these reasons, it is not shipped, but is generated on-site by processes such as the reaction of chlorine gas with solid sodium hypochlorite:

$$2NaClO_2(s) + Cl_2(g)$$
  $2ClO_2(g) + 2NaCl(s)$  (8.11.10)

A high content of elemental chlorine in the product may require its purification to prevent unwanted side-reactions from  $Cl_2$ .

As a water disinfectant, chlorine dioxide does not chlorinate or oxidize ammonia or other nitrogen-containing compounds. Some concern has been raised over possible health effects of its main degradation byproducts,  $ClO_2^-$  and  $ClO_3^-$ .

#### Ozone

**Ozone** is sometimes used as a disinfectant in place of chlorine, particularly in Europe. Figure 8.10 shows the main components of an ozone water treatment system. Basically, air is filtered, cooled, dried, and pressurized, then subjected to an electrical discharge of approximately 20,000 volts. The ozone produced is then pumped

into a contact chamber where water contacts the ozone for 10-15 minutes. Concern over possible production of toxic organochlorine compounds by water chlorination processes has increased interest in ozonation. Furthermore, ozone is more destructive to viruses than is chlorine. Unfortunately, the solubility of ozone in water is relatively low, which limits its disinfective power.




Figure 8.10. A schematic diagram of a typical ozone water-treatment system.

A major consideration with ozone is the rate at which it decomposes spontaneously in water, according to the overall reaction,

$$2O_3 = 3O_2(g)$$
 (8.11.11)

Because of the decomposition of ozone in water, some chlorine must be added to maintain disinfectant throughout the water distribution system.

Iron(VI) in the form of ferrate ion,  $\text{FeO}_4^{2^-}$ , is a strong oxidizing agent with excellent disinfectant properties. It has the additional advantage of removing heavy metals, viruses, and phosphate. It may well find limited application for disinfection in the future.

# 8.12. NATURAL WATER PURIFICATION PROCESSES

Virtually all of the materials that waste treatment processes are designed to eliminate may be absorbed by soil or degraded in soil. In fact, most of these materials are essential for soil fertility. Wastewater may provide the water that is essential to plant growth, in addition to the nutrients—phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium—usually provided by fertilizers. Wastewater also contains essential trace elements and vitamins. Stretching the point a bit, the degradation of organic wastes provides the  $CO_2$  essential for photosynthetic production of plant biomass.

Soil may be viewed as a natural filter for wastes. Most organic matter is readily degraded in soil and, in principle, soil constitutes an excellent primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment system for water. Soil has physical, chemical, and biological characteristics that can enable wastewater detoxification, biodegradation, chemical decomposition, and physical and chemical fixation. A number of soil characteristics are important in determining its use for land treatment of wastes. These characteristics include physical form, ability to retain water, aeration, organic content, acid-base characteristics, and oxidation-reduction behavior. Soil is a natural medium for a number of living organisms that may have an effect upon biodegradation of wastewaters, including those that contain industrial wastes. Of these, the most important are bacteria, including those from the genera Agrobacterium, Arthrobacteri, Bacillus, Flavobacterium, and Pseudomonas. Actinomycetes and fungi are important in decay of vegetable matter and may be involved in biodegradation of wastes. Other unicellular organisms that may be present in or on soil are protozoa and algae. Soil animals, such as earthworms, affect soil parameters such as soil texture. The growth of plants in soil may have an influence on its waste treatment potential in such aspects as uptake of soluble wastes and erosion control.

Early civilizations, such as the Chinese, used human organic wastes to increase soil fertility, and the practice continues today. The ability of soil to purify water was noted well over a century ago. In 1850 and 1852, J. Thomas Way, a consulting chemist to the Royal Agricultural Society in England, presented two papers to the Society entitled "Power of Soils to Absorb Manure." Mr. Way's experiments showed that soil is an ion exchanger. Much practical and theoretical information on the ion exchange process resulted from his work.

If soil treatment systems are not properly designed and operated, odor can become an overpowering problem. The author of this book is reminded of driving into a small town, recalled from some years before as a very pleasant place, and being assaulted with a virtually intolerable odor. The disgruntled residents pointed to a large spray irrigation system on a field in the distance—unfortunately upwind spraying liquified pig manure as part of an experimental feedlot waste treatment operation. The experiment was not deemed a success and was discontinued by the investigators, presumably before they met with violence from the local residents.

## **Industrial Wastewater Treatment by Soil**

Wastes that are amenable to land treatment are biodegradable organic substances, particularly those contained in municipal sewage and in wastewater from some industrial operations, such as food processing. However, through acclimation over a long period of time, soil bacterial cultures may develop that are effective in degrading normally recalcitrant compounds that occur in industrial wastewater. Acclimated microorganisms are found particularly at contaminated sites, such as those where soil has been exposed to crude oil for many years.

A variety of enzyme activities are exhibited by microorganisms in soil that enable them to degrade synthetic substances. Even sterilized soil may show enzyme activity due to extracellular enzymes secreted by microorganisms in soil. Some of these enzymes are hydrolase enzymes (see Chapter 21), such as those that catalyze the hydrolysis of organophosphate compounds as shown by the reaction,

$$\begin{array}{c} R-O-\overset{X}{P}-O-Ar \xrightarrow{H_2O} & \overset{X}{Phosphatase} \\ \overset{O}{R} & \overset{Phosphatase}{enzyme} & \overset{R-O-\overset{P}{P}-OH}{\overset{O}{R}} + HOAr \end{array}$$
(8.12.1)

where R is an alkyl group, Ar is a substituent group that is frequently aryl, and X is either S or O. Another example of a reaction catalyzed by soil enzymes is the oxidation of phenolic compounds by diphenol oxidase:

$$\bigcup_{\substack{OH\\OH}}^{OH} + \{O\} \xrightarrow{\text{Diphenol}}_{\text{oxidase}} \qquad \bigoplus_{\substack{I\\O}}^{O} + H_2O \qquad (8.12.2)$$

Land treatment is most used for petroleum refining wastes and is applicable to the treatment of fuels and wastes from leaking underground storage tanks. It can also be applied to biodegradable organic chemical wastes, including some organohalide compounds. Land treatment is not suitable for the treatment of wastes containing acids, bases, toxic inorganic compounds, salts, heavy metals, and organic compounds that are excessively soluble, volatile, or flammable.

# 8.13. WATER REUSE AND RECYCLING

Water reuse and recycling are becoming much more common as demands for water exceed supply. **Unplanned reuse** occurs as the result of waste effluents entering receiving waters or groundwater and subsequently being taken into a water distribution system. A typical example of unplanned water reuse occurs in London, which withdraws water from the Thames River that may have been through other water systems at least once, and which uses groundwater sources unintentionally recharged with sewage effluents from a number of municipalities. **Planned reuse** utilizes wastewater treatment systems deliberately designed to bring water up to standards required for subsequent applications. The term **direct reuse** refers to water that has retained its identity from a previous application; reuse of water that has lost its identity is termed **indirect reuse**. The distinction also needs to be made between recycling and reuse. **Recycling** occurs internally before water is ever discharged. An example is condensation of steam in a steam power plant followed by return of the steam to boilers. **Reuse** occurs, for example, when water discharged by one user is taken as a water source by another user.

Reuse of water continues to grow because of two major factors. The first of these is lack of supply of water. The second is that widespread deployment of modern water treatment processes significantly enhances the quality of water available for reuse. These two factors come into play in semi-arid regions in countries with advanced technological bases. For example, Israel, which is dependent upon irrigation for essentially all its agriculture, reuses about 2/3 of the country's sewage effluent for irrigation, whereas the U.S., where water is relatively more available, uses only about 2–3% of its water for this purpose.

Since drinking water and water used for food processing require the highest quality of all large applications, intentional reuse for potable water is relatively less desirable, though widely practiced unintentionally or out of necessity. This leaves three applications with the greatest potential for reuse:

- Irrigation for cropland, golf courses, and other applications requiring water for plant and grass growth. This is the largest potential application for reused water and one that can take advantage of plant nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus, in water.
- Cooling and process water in industrial applications. For some industrial applications, relatively low quality water can be used and secondary sewage effluent is a suitable source.
- Groundwater recharge. Groundwater can be recharged with reused water either by direct injection into an aquifer or by applying the water to land, followed by percolation into the aquifer. The latter, especially, takes advantage of biodegradation and chemical sorption processes to further purify the water.

It is inevitable that water recycling and reuse will continue to grow. This trend will increase the demand for water treatment, both qualitatively and quantitatively. In addition, it will require more careful consideration of the original uses of water to minimize water deterioration and enhance its suitability for reuse.

# LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Cowan, Robert M., Timothy G. Ellis, Matthew J. Alagappan, Gunaseelan Alagappan, and Keeyong Park, "Treatment Systems. Activated Sludge and Other Aerobic Suspended Culture Processes," *Water Environment Research*, **68**, 451-469 (1996).
- 2. Sebastian, Joseph, "Tertiary Treatment of Sewage for Reuse," *Chemical Engineering World*, **32** 55-57 (1997).
- Kuo, Jih-Fen, James F. Stahl, Ching-Lin Chen, and Paul V. Bohlier, "Dual Role of Activated Carbon Process for Water Reuse, *Water Environment Research*, 70, 161-170 (1998).
- Edell, Asa and Gregory M. Morrison, "Critical Evaluation of Pesticides in the Aquatic Environment and their Removal from Drinking Water" *Sweden Vatten*, 53, 355-364 (1997).
- 5. Tchobanoglous, George, Jeannie Darby, Keith Bourgeous, John McArdle, Paul Genest, and Michael Tylla, "Ultrafiltration as an Advanced Tertiary Treatment Process for Municipal Wastewater," *Desalination*, **119**, 315-322 (1998).
- Electrodialysis as an Alternative for Reverse Osmosis in an Integrated Membrane System. Van Der Hoek, J. P., D. O. Rijnbende, C. J. A. Lokin, P. A. C. Bonne, M. T. Loonen, and J. A. M. H. Hofman, *Desalination*, **117**, 159-172 (1998).

- Thiemann, H., and H. Weiler, "One Year of Operational Experience with the Largest River Water Reverse Osmosis Plant in Germany," *VGB Kraftwerkstech*, 76, 1017-1022 (1996).
- 8. Koch, G. and H. Siegrist, "Denitrification with Methanol in Tertiary Filtration, *Water Research*, **31**, 3029-3038 (1997).

## SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

Adin, Avner and Takashi Asano, "The Role of Physical Chemical Treatment in Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse," *Water Science and Technology*, **37**, 79-80 (1998).

American Water Works Association, *Reverse Osmosis and Nanofiltration*, American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1998.

Ash, Michael and Irene Ash, *Handbook of Water Treatment Chemicals*, Gower, Aldershot, England, 1996.

Balaban, Miriam, Ed., *Desalination and Water Re-use*, Hemisphere, New York, 1991.

Bitton, Gabriel, Wastewater Microbiology, Wiley-Liss, New York, 1999.

Casey, T. J. and J. T. Casey, Unit Treatment Processes in Water and Wastewater Engineering, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.

Connell, Gerald F., *The Chlorination/Chloramination Handbook*, American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1996.

*Design of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants-MOP* 8, 4th ed., Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, VA, 1998.

Droste, Ronald, *Theory and Practice of Water and Wastewater Treatment*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1996.

Faust, Samuel D. and Osman M. Aly, Eds., *Chemistry of Water Treatment*, 2nd ed., American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1997.

Freeman, Harry M., Ed., Standard Handbook of Hazardous Waste Treatment and Disposal, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1998.

Gallagher, Lynn M. and Leonard A. Miller, *Clean Water Handbook*, 2nd ed., Government Institutes, Rockville, MD, 1996.

Gates, Donald J., *The Chlorine Dioxide Handbook*, American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1998.

Geldreich, Edwin, *Microbial Quality of Water Supply in Distribution Systems*, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.

Hahn, Hermann H., Erhard Hoffmann, and Ÿdegaard Hallvard, *Chemical Water* and Wastewater Treatment V: Proceedings of the 7th Gothenburg Symposium 1998, Springer, New York, 1998.

Kurbiel, J., Ed., Advanced Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation, Pergamon, London, 1991.

Langlais, Bruno, David A. Recknow, and Deborah R. Brink, Eds., *Ozone in Water Treatment: Application and Engineering*, Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.

Mathie, Alton J., *Chemical Treatment for Cooling Water*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1999.

Mays, Larry W., Water Distribution Systems Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1999.

Minear, Roger A. and Gary L. Amy, *Disinfection By-Products in Water Treatment: The Chemistry of Their Formation and Control*, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.

Montgomery, James M., Consulting Engineers, *Water Treatment Principles and Design*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.

Norman, Terry and Gary Banuelos, *Phytoremediation of Contaminated Soil and Water*, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.

Nyer, Evan K., *Groundwater Treatment Technology*, 2nd ed., van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.

Patrick David R. and George C. White, *Handbook of Chlorination and Alternative Disinfectants*, 3rd ed., Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.

Polevoy, Savely, *Water Science and Engineering*, Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 1996.

Rice, Rip G., *Ozone Drinking Water Treatment Handbook*, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.

Roques, Henri, *Chemical Water Treatment: Principles and Practice*, VCH, New York, 1996.

Scholze, R. J., Ed., *Biotechnology for Degradation of Toxic Chemicals in Hazardous Wastes*, Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ, 1988.

Singer, Philip C., Formation and Control of Disinfection By-Products in Drinking Water, American Water Works Association, Denver, CO, 1999.

Speitel, Gerald E., Advanced Oxidation and Biodegradation Processes for the Destruction of TOC and DBP Precursors, AWWA Research Foundation, Denver, CO 1999.

Spellman, Frank R. and Nancy E. Whiting, *Water Pollution Control Technology: Concepts and Applications*, Government Institutes, Rockville, MD, 1999.

Steiner, V. "UV Irradiation in Drinking Water and Wastewater Treatment for Disinfection," *Wasser Rohrbau*, **49**, 22-31 (1998).

Stevenson, David G., Water Treatment Unit Processes, Imperial College Press, London, 1997.

Tomar, Mamta, Laboratory Manual for the Quality Assessment of Water and Wastewater, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.

Türkman, Aysen, and Orhan Uslu, Eds., *New Developments in Industrial Wastewater Treatment*, Kluwer, Norwell, MA, 1991.

Wachinski, James E. Etzel, Anthony M., *Environmental Ion Exchange: Principles and Design*, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1997.

Wase, John and Christopher Forster, Eds., *Biosorbents for Metal Ions*, Taylor & Francis, London, 1997.

White, George C., *Handbook of Chlorination and Alternative Disinfectants*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.

# **QUESTIONS AND PROBLEMS**

- 1. Consider the equilibrium reactions and expressions covered in Chapter 3. How many moles of NTA should be added to 1000 liters of water having a pH of 9 and containing  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  at  $1.00 \times 10^{-4}$  M to prevent precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ? Assume a total calcium level of 40 mg/L.
- 2. What is the purpose of the return sludge step in the activated sludge process?
- 3. What are the two processes by which the activated sludge process removes soluble carbonaceous material from sewage?
- 4. Why might hard water be desirable as a medium if phosphorus is to be removed by an activated sludge plant operated under conditions of high aeration?
- 5. How does reverse osmosis differ from a simple sieve separation or ultrafiltration process?
- 6. How many liters of methanol would be required daily to remove the nitrogen from a 200,000-L/day sewage treatment plant producing an effluent containing 50 mg/L of nitrogen? Assume that the nitrogen has been converted to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the plant. The denitrifying reaction is Reaction 8.9.6.
- 7. Discuss some of the advantages of physical-chemical treatment of sewage as opposed to biological wastewater treatment. What are some disadvantages?
- 8. Why is recarbonation necessary when water is softened by the lime-soda process?
- 9. Assume that a waste contains 300 mg/L of biodegradable {CH<sub>2</sub>O} and is processed through a 200,000-L/day sewage-treatment plant which converts 40% of the waste to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Calculate the volume of air (at 25°, 1 atm) required for this conversion. Assume that the O<sub>2</sub> is transferred to the water with 20% efficiency.
- 10. If all of the  $\{CH_2O\}$  in the plant described in Question 9 could be converted to methane by anaerobic digestion, how many liters of methane (STP) could be produced daily?

- 11. Assuming that aeration of water does not result in the precipitation of calcium carbonate, of the following, which one that would not be removed by aeration: hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, volatile odorous bacterial metabolites, alka-linity, iron?
- 12. In which of the following water supplies would moderately high water hardness be most detrimental: municipal water; irrigation water; boiler feedwater; drinking water (in regard to potential toxicity).
- 13. Which solute in water is commonly removed by the addition of sulfite or hydrazine?
- 14. A wastewater containing dissolved  $Cu^{2+}$  ion is to be treated to remove copper. Which of the following processes would *not* remove copper in an insoluble form; lime precipitation; cementation; treatment with NTA; ion exchange; reaction with metallic Fe.
- 15. Match each water contaminant in the left column with its preferred method of removal in the right column.
  - (a)  $Mn^{2+}$ (b)  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $HCO_3^{-}$
  - (c) Trihalomethane compounds
  - (d)  $Mg^{2+}$
- (1) Activated carbon
- (2) Raise pH by addition of  $Na_2CO_3$
- (3) Addition of lime
- (4) Oxidation
- 16. A cementation reaction employs iron to remove  $Cd^{2+}$  present at a level of 350 mg/L from a wastewater stream. Given that the atomic weight of Cd is 112.4 and that of Fe is 55.8, how many kg of Fe are consumed in removing all the Cd from  $4.50 \times 10^6$  liters of water?
- 17. Consider municipal drinking water from two different kinds of sources, one a flowing, well-aerated stream with a heavy load of particulate matter, and the other an anaerobic groundwater. Describe possible differences in the water treatment strategies for these two sources of water.
- 18. In treating water for industrial use, consideration is often given to "sequential use of the water." What is meant by this term? Give some plausible examples of sequential use of water.
- 19. Active biomass is used in the secondary treatment of municipal wastewater. Describe three ways of supporting a growth of the biomass, contacting it with wastewater, and exposing it to air.
- 20. Using appropriate chemical reactions for illustration, show how calcium present as the dissolved  $HCO_3$  salt in water is easier to remove than other forms of hardness, such as dissolved  $CaCl_2$ .